



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/663,956	09/19/2000	Akira Mashimo	4314	8253		
1109 75	90 05/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK, P.C.			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M			
	OF THE AMERICAS NY 10020-1182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,			2653			
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/663,956		MASHIMO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Aristotelis M	l Psitos	2653				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •							
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat uperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days uperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory uper to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by uperly received by the Office later than three months after the uperly rec	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. s, a reply within the statuto y period will apply and will o y statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ony minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 21 December 200	04.					
		This action is no		•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except fo	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5 and 8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	☐ Claim(s) is/arc anowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 7 is/arc rejected.							
·=	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer						
· · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
رد.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. & 119/a'	h-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority and	,, 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a)	-(a) or (i).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		7.7					
	application from the International E	, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	·	• • • •	ed.				
Attach	tte)							
Attachmen	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	1) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	00.007	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/663,956

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response of 12/21/04 has been received.

Claims 3-5,8-10 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species, election made without traverse in paper # 6 dated 4/5/06.

Claims 1-2,6 and 7 remain active in the case and have been examined.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 12/21/04. These drawings are accepted and have been placed in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the

Application/Control Number: 09/663,956

Art Unit: 2653

2653

examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1,2 and 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horino et al or further considered with either Ando et al or JP 9-270137.

Horino et al is the US equivalent to the JP document 11-000723 (application #) stated in the submitted JP Office action (item listed on the IDS submitted on 2/603).

As noted therein the examiner's position was/is that the use of appropriate filter(s) for removal of the particular frequency signal would be obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art – again referring to the English translation of the submitted JP OA.

Alternatively, the cited Ando et al reference or the JP 9-270137 teach the use of LPF(s) in this environment for their inherent ability – either for subsequent motor control or restricting/eliminating unwanted signal components and hence providing for a "cleaner"/less distorted final output signal.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of the Horino et al/JP 11-000723 document for the reasons as elaborated/recognized in the submitted JP OA and/or further elaborated upon by either of the above secondary references to either Ando et al or JP 9-270137.

With respect to claims 2 & 7, fig. 9 of Horino et al (either the US or the JP document) clearly depicts a photodetector, the s/h elements and the adders accordingly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' arguments are two fold:

a) reference teaches away from the claimed sample and hold limitation recited in the claims.

Nevertheless, the document does provide for such a capability- see for instance the depiction of figure 9 (labeled prior art), which clearly depicts a s/h ability. In keeping with <u>In re Boe, 148 USPQ 507</u> since a reference must be evaluated for all that it teaches/discloses even non-preferred embodiments the examiner is not persuaded.

Art Unit: 2653

b) The reference to Horino et al is not prior art due to the effective priority date of applicants' foreign documents, which have been received by the PTO.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakajo – see figure 5 and its description, which also depicts a photodetector, s/h elements, adders, and a subtractor 105. It also lacks the lpf ability, but the above secondary references teach such. It also can be relied upon in place of Horino et al as the base reference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7594. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

Art Unit: 2653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aristotelis M Psito Primary Examine

Art Unit 2653

AMP